

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/736,266	1:	2/15/2003	Michael John Rutter	CHM-009	3842		
38155	7590	09/07/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
	HASSE & NESBITT LLC 8837 CHAPEL SQUARE DRIVE				DIXON, ANNETTE FREDRICKA		
SUITE C	EL SQUAR	CE DRIVE		ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
CINCINNAT	TI, OH 4:	5249		3743	3743		

DATE MAILED: 09/07/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/736,266	RUTTER, MICHAEL JOH	ΗN
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Annette F. Dixon	3743	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address -	•
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute the Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	OATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communica D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 J	lune 2006.		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	·		s is
closed in accordance with the practice under I	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3,4,7-12,16,17 and 21-25</u> is/are pe 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) <u>1, 3, 4, 7-12, 16, 17, 21-25</u> is/are rej 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	ected.		
Application Papers			
9) ☑ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 15 December 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 2003.	are: a) \square accepted or b) \boxtimes object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	• •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati prity documents have been receive tu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary		
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Oct 12, 2004 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		

Art Unit: 3743

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference character "20c" has been used to designate both shank and heat-staking end. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

- 2. The use of the trademark "Silastic" has been noted in this application. It should be capitalized wherever it appears and be accompanied by the generic terminology. Although the use of trademarks is permissible in patent applications, the proprietary nature of the marks should be respected and every effort made to prevent their use in any manner, which might adversely affect their validity as trademarks.
- 3. Specifically, Applicant uses the phase "Dow Corning Silastic, medical grade" and "silastic diaphragm". Applicant's recitation of "Dow Corning Silastic, medical grade"

Art Unit: 3743

appears to be a recitation of the trademark material, while "silastic diaphragm" appears to be more of a recitation of the concept of a material having properties of silicone and plastic. Examiner requests Applicant further clarify and take caution in the use of trademarks and provide generic terminology.

Claim Objections

4. Claim 3 is objected to because of the following informalities: Examiner is unsure if the Applicant would like to be consistent in the recitation of the diaphragm's characteristics by including the limitation of the "low-modulus" silicone sheet material as Applicant has done in Claims 9 and 22. Appropriate correction and clarification is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 5. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 6. Claims 1, 3, 4, 7-12, 16, 17, 21-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter, which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. No amendment may

Application/Control Number: 10/736,266

Art Unit: 3743

introduce new matter into the disclosure of an application after its filing date. See MPEP §608.04.

Page 4

- 7. Specifically, Claims 1, 10, 11, 21, 23, and 25 now recite the claim limitation of the second valve unit begins to open when the intrathoracic pressure during expiration is "greater than" about # cm of water or "beyond" # cm of water (Claim 25); however, the originally filed disclosure does not provide evidence that Applicant possessed the newly claimed invention at the time the application was filed. In fact, the original specification of the instant invention discloses, "... reaches about 3 cm of water" (Page 12 - paragraph 0045), "... of about 4 cm of water" (Page 4 - paragraph 0020), and "... reaches about 4 cm of water" (Page 9 – paragraph 0036, and Page 12 – paragraph 0045). The recitation of "about" gives way to the second valve unit to open at 2.5 cm of water and 3.3 cm of water; while the recitation of greater than limits the opening of the second valve unit to only operate at pressure 3.0 cm of water and greater. There is no specific recitation or support for the second valve unit opening at "greater than" about 3 cm of water or "beyond" # cm of water (Claim 25) in the original disclosure; and therefore, the subject matter added to the independent Claims 1 and 21, as well as their dependants (Claims 3, 4, 7-12, 16, 17, 22-25) is considered new matter and must be cancelled from the claims. Appropriate correction and clarification is required.
- 8. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 3743

9. Claims 1, 3, 4, 7-12, 16, 17, 21-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

- 10. Specifically, Claims 1, 10, 11, 21, 23, 11 now recite the claim limitation of the second valve unit blocks airflow when the intrathoracic pressure during expiration is "less than about 3 cm of water" (Page 4 paragraph 0018) or "less than about 4 cm of water" (Page 4 paragraph 0020). As a result of these two separate and distinct limitations, the scope and operational characteristics of the second valve are inherently broad and conflict in nature. Appropriate correction and clarification is required.
- 11. Specifically, Claims 1, 7, 12, 16, 17, 21, 23-25 now recite the claim limitation directed only towards the second valve consisting of a slit valve or the second valve consisting of an umbrella valve. Claims 1 and 21 recite "... intrathoracic pressure during expiration is ... 3 cm of water", this limitation is only supported by the umbrella valve (Page 12 paragraph 0045); therefore, the recitation of Claims 7, 10, 11, 12, 16, 17, 21, 23-25 is improper for reciting limitations of a slit valve. Claims 10, 11, 12, 16, 17, 23-25 recite the valve is "fully open when the intrathoracic pressure reaches about 10 cm of water", this limitation of "fully open at about 10 cm of water" is only supported by the slit valve (Page 9 paragraph 0036); therefore, the recitation of these dependant claims to have limitations associated with umbrella valve found in the independent Claims 1 and 21 is improper. To reiterate, Applicant has disclosed the slit valve to begin to open at about 4 cm of water and is fully open at about 10 cm of water (Page 9 paragraph 0036); while the umbrella valve begins to open at about 3 cm of water or

Art Unit: 3743

about 4 cm of water, depending on the embodiment, and the valve is fully open at about 12 cm of water, typically about 10 cm of water (Page 12 – paragraph 0045). The recitation of when the valve is "fully open" is separate and distinct as the umbrella valve may or may not be open at 10 cm of water. Further, Examiner is unsure of Applicant's recitation in Claims 7, 12, 17, and 21 of the slit valve and umbrella valve to be functional equivalents by the use of the word "or", when Applicant has provided a disclosure that provides separate and distinct limitations that are exclusive to slit and umbrella valves. (Page 9 – paragraph 0036, and Page 12 – paragraph 0045). Appropriate correction and clarification is required.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Annette F. Dixon whose telephone number is (571) 272-3392. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Henry Bennett can be reached on (571) 272-4791. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3743

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AFD

September 5, 2006

Henry Bennett

Supervisory Patents Xan